

Simulations results for CD-1: Jet Structure TG

Dennis V. Perepelitsa, Rosi Reed (co-conveners)

13 April 2017
sPHENIX convener meeting

Major efforts in JS TG

- Photon performance in pp and Au+Au
 - ➔ sustained effort by Ohio U (Justin, Abinash, Tyler) and Michigan U (Joe)
 - ➔ but not yet “higher-level” performance like isolation atop UE background in Au+Au, purities based on expected γ / π^0 rates
- Porting (“ATLAS-style”) UE combinatoric subtraction to sPHENIX framework
 - ➔ mission critical for all-things-jet and photon isolation in Au+Au
 - ➔ happy to have attention to this critical effort from Columbia U (Sarah)
- Emulator to study calo-based L1 trigger performance for jets and photons in $p+p$, $p+A$ (and $A+A$, for high-lumi running scenarios)
 - ➔ Colorado group (DVP, Kurt, Jamie), also some work on quarkonia trigger by ISU (Sasha)
- Recently, interest in JEWEL simulations of jet physics observables at RHIC
 - ➔ Rutgers U group (Sevil, Raghav)
- Difficult to find person-power for other high-priority efforts (jet substructure performance, “Particle Flow”-style jet reco, etc.)

Simulation needs

- In the past, Jet Structure TG has been most effective with specific charge & deadline
- Major need #1: consistent “tag” of detector configuration & reconstruction options for all topical groups (or “tags”, e.g. w/ & w/o MVTX?)
 - ➔ then, can begin to coordinate a common set of G4 simulations for CD-1 review work (thus, a control on the provenance of any plots)
- Major need #2: more specificity (from sPHENIX mgmt? from BNL or DOE ?) about needed CD-1 review results, three examples:
 1. running scope: e.g. is there a “5-year” running plan with high-lumi Au+Au running? changes focus of trigger sim needs, projections, etc.
 2. balance: focus on remaking “core” metrics in original MIE (jet response, resolution vs. centrality/ R/p_T with latest G4) vs. demonstrate ability to keep up with latest physics developments (z_g , γ -tagged FF, etc.)?
 3. timeline: what should be prepared for Director’s Review (when in summer?), for draft CDR (1 May?), etc.

Possible list of plots

- Basic performance metrics: ability to measure photons, jets & sub-jets, charged particles in $p+p$ and Au+Au
 - ➔ biggest challenges for either case: UE subtraction, coordinating large Hijing simulation campaign
- “Compound” metrics or observables: unfolding challenge, fake jet rejection (or triggered away-side jet purities), FF sensitivity to z-jet-response correlations, etc.
 - ➔ biggest challenge: longer timescale, need solid understanding of basic metrics first
- Statistical projections for singles & correlation yields in 3 systems
 - ➔ status: need input on expected data-taking timeline
- Trigger (photon, jet, quarkonia) efficiencies & rejections
 - ➔ status: in development
- Expected signatures from jet quenching simulations
 - ➔ status: used mix of various models/calculations in original MIE; for a rebooted effort, would propose to focus on JEWEL